

WEBINAR:

Setting the cow up for success:

Transition Cow Management

PART 2

Presented by SHAUN BALEMI





- 1. What should we measure for transition success?
- 2. What tools are available to help produce a successful transition?
- 3. Some practical examples and experiences (time allowing).





- ✓ No condition loss pre-calving
- ✓ Liver tuned for lactation, ready to go!
- ✓ Optimum rumination mins
- ✓ Good energy levels at calving
- ✓ Consistent appetite close-up to calving
- ✓ Zero pre-calving metabolics/ketosis/low NEFA
- ✓ Less that 2% post-calving clinical metabolic disease or ketosis
- ✓ Recovery from inflammation
- ✓ Strong immune system
- ✓ Optimum cow recovery post-calving





Visual assessment

- Fat along back, base of tail and over ribs
- Muscle thickness

Blood assessment

- NEFA levels <0.4mmol/L



The dry period and transition period is all about getting the cows in the right window ready for calving.

Appetite & stress

- Feed intake is a key indicator
- Cow behaviour, getting pushed off feed etc

Blood assessment

- Measure <1 week pre-calving
- NEFA levels <0.4mmol/L
- TP, COL, BILI, GGT & ALT?





Rumination minutes are one way to measure a stable/well-functioning rumen. However, you must incorporate blood liver and appetite factors for cow recovery measurement.

Visual

- Counting cow chews (70-80 chews/min
- Feed intake/appetite
- Cow should be either eating or ruminating/resting, never standing idle

Cow measurement tech

- Rumination: >70% of transition rumination i.e. >390mins rumination, with transition rumination of 560mins
- Activity/eating mins following rumination mins
- Water intake
- Rumen pH
- Temperature



This is really a visual-only assessment but important nonetheless.

It's important to assess the number of assisted calvings and overall cow energy levels at calving.

There are many elements that influence energy levels at calving, but it is a good tool for measuring an effective transition.



Again, this is a visual, and potentially an activity, measurement.

We are looking for close-up cows, in particular, standing off the feed or getting pushed off the feed.

These cows are your high-risk cows for ketosis and metabolic disease. If the proportion of these cows is high, the cow is not in the optimum calving window.

6. ZERO PRE-CALVING METABOLICS/KETOSIS/LOW NEFA



Zero-treated metabolic cows is an easy measure.

Triggered by either low energy/liver instability OR calcium/potassium/phosphorus/magnesium imbalance.

Blood assessment

- Measure <1 week pre-calving
- NEFA levels <0.4mmol/L
- Cow-side ketone meters



Again, this is a visual measurement and relies heavily on record-keeping:

- It's important to record treated cows as downers, any cows showing clinical symptoms.

Cow-side ketone monitoring can be a cost-effective and useful tool.

Blood assessment post-calving

- Calcium: >2.2mmol/L
- Phosphorus: >1.8mmol/L
- Magnesium: 0.75-0.95mmol/L
- BOHB: <0.6mmol/L
- NEFA: <0.8mmol/L





Part of the post-calving recovery process is recovering from the natural inflammation, with minimal to no bad inflammation developing.

The science is still developing, but we know that inflammation is linked with immune response and has a high energy cost.

There are multiple triggers/inducers of bad inflammation, liver stress/fatty liver, physical injury, lack of glucose, driving poor immune system response, leading to poor inflammation recovery.

Potential blood assessment

- Pro-inflammatory cytokines (PIC)
- Tumor necrosis factor (TNFa)
- Amyloid A, IL-1 & IL-6 are also used in oxidative stress models

9. STRONG IMMUNE SYSTEM



This is most effectively measured by the level of animal health issues in early lactation.

Helpful metrics:

- RFMs
- Metritis/endometritis
- SCC
- Foot infections
- Other various diseases
- Immunoglobulin (IgG) in the blood
- Liver function blood indicators





Each of these 10 factors culminates to make up a successful transition period, which results in fast cow recovery post-calving.

Helpful metrics:

- Rumination/activity mins
- Cow appetite & production
- Cow condition
- Blood glucose, BOHB/NEFA, calcium & bilirubin
- Cow temperature





- ✓ Diet calculation tools
- ✓ Cow management
- ✓ DCAD and potassium management
- ✓ Calcium and phosphorus
- ✓ Rumen stabilisers: Buffers and yeasts
- ✓ B vitamins, vitamin E & vitamin D
- ✓ Methyl donors: Betaine
- ✓ Selenium, boron, chromium, copper, and zinc



DIET CALCULATION TOOLS

AGVANCE Success, Together.

Using diet formulation tools, or the back of the envelope, is important to ensure the cow's nutrition requirements are being met, not exceeded, and that the rumen is working hard.

ENERGY - MJME

80 – 110% of maintenance, around 22-24% of LWT = 85 – 144ME (380 – 600kg cow).

PROTEIN - %CP

>14%, ideally 16-18%, amin acid supply/profile is critical.

FIBRE - %NDF

>45%, however, the situation and availability are more important. Therefore, ad-lib is my general recommendation.

DIET CALCULATORS

- Back-of-envelope
- Agvance calculator
- Diet check
- Rumen8
- Multiple spreadsheets



DIET CALCULATION TOOLS



| Sample Name: | turo (P1) | | | | Lab Nu | imber: |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|-----|--------|--------|
| Analysis | lure (FT) | Level Found | Medium Range | Low | Medium | High |
| Nitrogen* | % | 26 | 40-50 | | | |
| Nitrogen* | %DM | 2.0 | 4.0 - 3.0 | | | |
| | , | 2.0 | | | | |
| Phosphorus | % | 0.29 | 0.38 - 0.45 | | | |
| Potassium | % | 1.8 | 2.5 - 3.0 | | | |
| Sulphur | % | 0.26 | 0.30 - 0.40 | | | |
| Calcium | % | 0.81 | 0.60 - 1.00 | | | |
| Magnesium | % | 0.25 | 0.20 - 0.30 | | | |
| Sodium | % | 0.321 | 0.150 - 0.300 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Iron | mg/kg | 185 | 100 - 250 | | | |
| Manganese | mg/kg | 68 | 60 - 150 | | | |
| Zinc | mg/kg | 37 | 30 - 50 | | | |
| Copper | mg/kg | 7 | 10 - 12 | | | |
| Boron | mg/kg | 6 | | | | |
| Mahdadaas | | 0.20 | 0.50 4.2 | | | |
| Noiybdenum | mg/kg | 0.36 | 0.50 - 1.2 | | | |
| Cobait | mg/kg | 0.14 | 0.10 - 0.20 | | | |
| Selenium | mg/kg | 0.11 | 0.00 - 0.15 | | | |
| Chloride* | % | 0.66 | 030-24 | | | |
| Chionae | ~ | 0.00 | 0.00 - 2.4 | | | |
| Dry Matter* | % | 19.8 | 12.0 - 30.0 | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| Crude Protein* | %DM | 17.3 | 20.0 - 30.0 | | | |
| Acid Detergent Fibre* | %DM | 24.7 | 20.0 - 30.0 | | | |
| Neutral Detergent Fibre* | %DM | 45.2 | 30.0 - 45.0 | | |) |
| Ash* | %DM | 9.0 | 7.0 - 14.0 | | | |
| Organic Matter* | %DM | 91.0 | | | | |
| Soluble Sugars* | %DM | 10.7 | | | | |
| Starch* | %DM | < 0.5 | | | | |
| Crude Fat* | %DM | 3.4 | | | | |
| Digestibility of Organic Matter in (DOMD)* | Dry Matter % | 72.2 | 65.0 - 80.0 | | | |
| Metabolisable Energy* | MJ/kgDM | 11.5 | 9.0 - 12.0 | | | |

KEY ELEMENTS DCAD = (Na + K) - (CI + S)Sodium % Potassium % Chloride % Sulphur % Calcium % Phosphorus % Magnesium % Dry matter (DM) Metabolisable energy (ME)





| Edit Recomr | mendatior | ı - Baler | ni, Agvanc | е | | | | | | | | | | > |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|-------------|---------------|------------|--------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|----|
| Summary | P 47 Ca 12 Cu 191 Zn | Ca 126 Mg 38 K 353 Na 33 S 58 Cl 200 .91 Zn 943 Co 12 I 1 Mn 807 Se 4.50 B 159 Vit A 70250 Vit D 0 Vit E 1355 | | | | | | | | DC, | AD VALUE 95 (| GOOD | | |
| Number of Da | ys | 126 | | | Client Gro | oup | | | | | 1.5 | \$ | | |
| Number of Co | WS | 20 | | | Price To V | /et Client | | | | | \$1,197. | 29 | | |
| Number of Do | ses | 2520 | | | Vet Client | t Cost Per | Dose | | | | 47.51 c | ents | | |
| Dose Rate | | 400.0 | grams | | Total Kg | | | | | | 1008 Kg | ? | | |
| Animal Type | | Cow | \$ | | Add Rum | asweet | Add An | niseed 🗌 \$0.0 | 00 | | Add Can | ola Oil 🗌 🕐 | | |
| Notes For Bag | Label | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Products | Herds | Feeds | Nutrient C | alculations | | | | (j) - | To edit the n | number of c | cows change | e the herds for | this ord | er |
| Туре | Feed | | | | | | | Amount | | | | | | |
| Feed | Pasture | (Spring) 🤇 | D | | | | | 4.00 Kg | g DM | | | | Delete |) |
| Feed | Pasture | Silage (ave | erage) 🕕 | | | | | 6.00 Kg | g DM | | | | Delete |) |
| Feed | Straw (E | Barley) 🕕 | | | | | | 2.00 Kg | g DM | | | | Delete |) |
| Feed | Barley (| D | | | | | | 0.50 Kg | g DM | | | | Delete |) |
| -150 -1 | 100 -50 | 0 | () 50 10 |) 0 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 350 400 | 0 450 | 500 | 550 6 | 00 650 | 700 | |
| Add Feed Add Additive | 9 | | AmountAmount | | Kg Dl Gms/ | M ′cow | | Add Feed | Cro | eate Custor d Additive | m Feed | Edit Feed | ls List Iditive |) |





| Edit Recomr | dit Recommendation - Balemi, Agvance | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summary | P 47 Ca 126 M Cu 189 Zn 936 | lg 38 K 353 Na 29 S 58 Cl 190 5 Co 11 I 1 Mn 807 Se 4.40 B 1 | DCAD VALUE 104 GOOD | | | | | | |
| Number of Da | ays | 14 | Client Group | 1.5 \$ | | | | | |
| Number of Co | WS | 1000 | Price To Vet Client | \$6,752.43 | | | | | |
| Number of Do | oses | 14000 | Vet Client Cost Per Dose | 48.23 cents | | | | | |
| Dose Rate | | 380.0 grams | Total Kg | 5320 Kg ? | | | | | |
| Animal Type | | Cow 🗘 | Add Rumasweet 🗌 Add Aniseed 🗌 \$0.00 | Add Canola Oil 🗌 🕐 | | | | | |
| Notes For Bag | J Label | | | | | | | | |

(i) To edit the number of cows change the herds for this order

| Products | Herds | Feeds | Nutrient Calculations | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|--------|--|
| Product Name | | | Gr/Dose | Mg/U.I. Per Dose | Kg | Recommended Range | | |
| Springer Transition Cow Health | | | 380.0000 | | 5320.000 | | Delete | |
| | | | | | | | | |





| Edit Recomr | dit Recommendation - Balemi, Agvance | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Summary | Summary P 47 Ca 126 Mg 38 K 353 Na 33 S 58 Cl 200 Cu 191 Zn 943 Co 12 I 1 Mn 807 Se 4.50 B 159 Vit A 70250 Vit D 0 Vit E 1355 DCAD VALUE 95 C | | | | | | | | |
| Number of Da | iys | 126 | Client Group | 1.5 🗘 | | | | | |
| Number of Co | WS | 20 | Price To Vet Client | \$1,197.29 | | | | | |
| Number of Do | ses | 2520 | Vet Client Cost Per Dose | 47.51 cents | | | | | |
| Dose Rate | | 400.0 grams | Total Kg | 1008 Kg ? | | | | | |
| Animal Type | | Cow 🔶 | Add Rumasweet 🗌 Add Aniseed 🗌 \$0.00 | Add Canola Oil 🗌 🧿 | | | | | |
| Notes For Bag | J Label | | | | | | | | |

(i) To edit the number of cows change the herds for this order

| Products | Herds Fe | eeds N | utrient Calculat | ions | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| Transition | | \$ | Cow - Friesian | | * | | | | | Print Report |
| | Р | Ca | a M | g | К | Na | S | CI | | |
| Total Diet | 47 | 12 | 6 3 | 8 3 | 353 | 33 | 58 | 200 | | |
| Requirement | 42.6 | 103 | .2 49 | .0 14 | 44.5 | 16.8 | 25.8 | 70.9 | | |
| | Cu | Zn | Со | I | Mn | Se | В | Vit A | Vit D | Vit E |
| Total Diet | 191 | 943 | 12 | 1 | 807 | 4.50 | 159 | 70250 | | 1355 |
| Requirement | 200.00 ppm | 750.00 pp | m 1.80 ppm | 14.00 ppm | 270.00 pp | om 6.00 ppn | n 150.00 ppm | 75000.00 IU | 0.00 IU | 875.00 mg |
| | Weight | Total E | nergy Aver Ene | rage rgy Crude | Protein | Eff Fiber | Fat | | | |
| Total Diet | 12.90 Kg DN | м 128 (| MJ) 10 | ME 2,1 | 00 (g) | 4,460 (g) | 362 (g) | | | |
| | | | (\downarrow) | | | | | | | |
| -150 -100 | -50 | 0 50 | 100 15 | 0 200 | 250 300 | 0 350 | 400 450 | 500 550 | 600 6 | 50 700 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |





Transition length

- 14+ days with minor dry transition diet change.
- 21+ days with a medium dry transition diet change.
- 28+ days with a major dry transition diet change.

Cow condition

- Av. <4.8 BCS, 22% LWT ME, >14% CP, ad-lib fibre.
- Av. 4.8 5.2 BCS, 22% LWT ME, >14% CP, ad-lib fibre.
- Av. >5.2 BCS, 24% LWT ME (sugar), >16% CP, ad-lib fibre, betaine.

Water, feed & supplement supply

- WATER: Quality and quantity.
- FEED: Correct balance and quality, along with consistent availability.
- SUPPLEMENT: Correct balance and palatability with even delivery.

Stress & group management

- Minimise weather stress with shelter.
- Don't move cows between groups.
- Have a close-up group if transition groups are large.





- Dietary cation anion difference.
- We decrease the DCAD by increasing the anions in the diet.
- This causes the cations to decrease, thus lowering the amount of bicarbonate in the blood.
- As we lower bicarbonate levels in the blood, the cow's sensitivity to parathyroid hormone (PTH) increases.
- PTH is released when calcium levels in the blood drop.
- The release of PTH stimulates the production of 125-dihydroxy vitamin D in the kidney.
- 125-dihydroxy vitamin D does two things: 1. Stimulates the release of calcium from the bone, and 2. Increases calcium absorption from the diet.
- This process of PTH/vitamin D release/reabsorption happens very fast.





- Aiming for a DCAD less than 100meq.
- Calculate using ALL sources of feed.
- Time is important to drive pH down in the blood, minimum 14 days, ideal 21 days.
- Liver stored vitamin D levels are important to Ca/P metabolism.
- Pre-loading with vitamin D over the dry period is a useful tool.
- Calcium and phosphorus supplementation are critical post-calving. It takes 24-48hr for blood pH to balance again after calving on a negative DCAD system. This also has an impact on bicarbonate buffering capacity.
- Colostrum blends/loose licks are particularly important to use alongside DCAD transition blends.











Figure 9: Relationship between DCAD and milk fever risk (Lean et al. 2006).







- Most springer diets are below the requirement for calcium. Feed concentrations should be 7.5 Ca/kg DM¹¹ or 0.75% of the total diet.
- It is important that the correct type of calcium is supplemented.
- Calcium must be fed in an acid salt form, such as calcium sulphate/calcium chloride, or a pH-neutral form, such as Calsea.
- Excess calcium levels will cause metabolic alkalosis, which shuts down the PTH, causing the kidneys to reabsorb bicarbonate, which boosts blood bicarbonate levels.
- Feeding anionic salts will help prevent metabolic alkalosis and increase the cow's ability to mobilise and absorb calcium. However, it also increases her excretion of calcium via the urine.
- When feeding anionic salts, it is most important that calcium is supplemented at a minimum to meet requirements¹¹.





- Research indicates that phosphorus has both a positive and negative effect on calcium homeostasis in the transition cow (Goff, 2020).
- Grunberg et al., 2019 showed that P intakes below 0.25% pre-calving resulted in reduced feed intakes and lower milk production after one-week post-calving. This data was also supported in work done by Valk & Sebek, 1999 (<0.28% P) and Puggaard et al., 2014 (<0.21% P).
- Having adequate P & Ca is important for the presence of osteocalcin, which is important for the mineralisation/storage of bone Ca & P. Puggaard et al., 2014 showed that in a diet containing P 0.21%, osteocalcin was significantly lower than a diet containing P at 0.31%.
- Oestocalcin is also important for energy metabolism in the cow (Puggaard et al., 2014).
- Increased P levels in the blood cause an increase in fibroblast growth factor, which stops the kidney synthesis of 125-dihydroxyvitamin D, which negatively interferes with both Ca and P absorption from the intestine and bone resorption (Goff, 2020).







<u>Graph extrapolated from</u>: Goff, 2020, Puggaard et al., 2014, Peterson et al., 2005, Valk & Sebek, 1999 & Barton, 1987







Figure 4. Effects of prepartum dietary P treatments (0.21, 0.31, or 0.44% dietary P, dry basis) on total serum Ca concentrations from 28 d prepartum through 28 d postpartum; d 0 is day of calving (SEM = 0.49; P < 0.05 for treatment by time interaction in prepartum period; P < 0.03 for treatment by time interaction for the periparturient period; P < 0.04 for treatment by time interaction for the postpartum period).

source: Peterson et al., 2005





3589



Figure 3. Effects of prepartum dietary P treatments (0.21, 0.31, or 0.44% dietary P, dry basis) on serum P concentrations from 28 d prepartum through 28 d postpartum; d 0 is day of calving (SEM = 0.38; P < 0.01 for treatment by time interaction in the periparturient and postpartum periods).

source: Peterson et al., 2005







Figure 1. Dry matter intake as affected by prepartum dietary P treatments (0.21, 0.31, or 0.44% dietary P, dry basis) from 28 d prepartum through 28 d postpartum; d 0 is day of calving (SEM = 1.2).

Source: Peterson et al., 2005 (P<0.10)





Table 7. Least squares means and orthogonal contrasts for milk yield and composition variables during the postpartum period (from parturition through 28 d of lactation).

| | | | | | Con | trasts |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | Treatments | | | 0.21%P vs. | 0.31%P vs |
| Milk variables | 0.21%P | 0.31%P | 0.44%P | SE | 0.44%P | 0.44%P |
| | | | | | | - <i>P</i> < |
| ECM yield, ¹ kg/d | 53.4 | 53.2 | 52.2 | 1.49 | NS^2 | NS |
| Adjusted ECM, ³ kg/d | 54.4 | 53.2 | 51.7 | 1.58 | NS | NS |
| SCC, ×1000/mL | 1447 | 592 | 354 | 285 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| P, mg/dL | 76.9 | 70.6 | 65.5 | 2.25 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| Fat, % | 5.44 | 5.32 | 5.04 | 0.23 | NS | NS |
| Fat, kg/d | 2.25 | 2.29 | 2.26 | 0.14 | NS | NS |
| Protein, % | 3.06 | 3.11 | 2.99 | 0.07 | NS | NS |
| Protein, kg/d | 1.23 | 1.29 | 1.30 | 0.05 | NS | NS |
| Lactose, % | 4.65 | 4.76 | 4.70 | 0.05 | NS | 0.09 |
| Lactore kg/d T-hla | C T a set server | | and anthe area | | . for the stars and | |

Lactose, kg/d SNF, % SNF, kg/d SNF, kg/d

| | | | | Cont | trasts | Contrast | s by time ¹ |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Treatments | | | 0.21%P vs. | 0.31%P vs | 0.21%P vs. 0.31%P | 0.31%P vs | |
| 0.21%P | 0.31%P | 0.44%P | SE | 0.31%1, 0.44%P | 0.31%1 vs. 0.44%P | 0.31%1, 0.44%P | 0.44%P |
| | | | | | P | ? < | |
| 18.9 | 19.7 | 19.9 | 0.92 | ${ m NS}^2$ | NS | NS | NS |
| 2.88 | 3.05 | 3.00 | 0.14 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 2.99 | 3.20 | 3.16 | 0.39 | 0.08 | 0.09 | NS | NS |
| -0.11 | -0.09 | -0.12 | 0.04 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| 657 | 665 | 695 | 11.0 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| -64.0 | -59.0 | -47.0 | 12.4 | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| | 0.21%P 18.9 2.88 2.99 -0.11 657 -64.0 | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Treatments\\ \hline 0.21\%P & 0.31\%P\\ \hline 18.9 & 19.7\\ 2.88 & 3.05\\ 2.99 & 3.20\\ -0.11 & -0.09\\ 657 & 665\\ -64.0 & -59.0\\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Treatments \\ \hline \hline 0.21\%P & 0.31\%P & 0.44\%P \\ \hline 18.9 & 19.7 & 19.9 \\ 2.88 & 3.05 & 3.00 \\ 2.99 & 3.20 & 3.16 \\ -0.11 & -0.09 & -0.12 \\ 657 & 665 & 695 \\ -64.0 & -59.0 & -47.0 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Treatments \\ \hline \hline 0.21\%P & 0.31\%P & 0.44\%P & SE \\ \hline 18.9 & 19.7 & 19.9 & 0.92 \\ 2.88 & 3.05 & 3.00 & 0.14 \\ 2.99 & 3.20 & 3.16 & 0.39 \\ -0.11 & -0.09 & -0.12 & 0.04 \\ 657 & 665 & 695 & 11.0 \\ -64.0 & -59.0 & -47.0 & 12.4 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ |

Source: Peterson et al., 2005





Betaine has been commonly used to combat heat stress through its effects on stabilising a stressed rumen and improving liver performance when under pressure.

Production results & dose rates vary a lot, however, 15-25g seems to be a sweet spot in early lactation for our cows to help with transition, calving & recovery, particularly in over-conditioned calving cows.

Research has shown that Betaine can:

- 1. Decrease the effects of ketosis.
- 2. Increase dry matter intakes.
- 3. Help to lower respiration rates under heat stress.
- 4. Help to improve anti-oxidant function.
- 5. Reduce GHG emissions from cow digestion increased *De Novo* fatty acids in milk.
- 6. Improve rumen feed digestion efficiency.
- 7. Helped to reduce ovarian/follicular cysts.
- 8. Contributed to improved reproductive success.



Study name

Dunshea et al. (2019) Hall et al. (2016) Hall et al. (2016). Monteiro et al. (2017) Monteiro et al. (2017). Peterson et al. (2012) Peterson et al. (2012). Peterson et al. (2012) .. Cheng et al. (2020) Wang et al. (2019) Shankhpal et al. (2019) Davidson et al. (2008) Davidson et al. (2008). Fedota et al. (2017) Hung et al. (2018) Hung et al. (2018). Shah et al. (2020) Shah et al. (2020). Wang et al. (2010) Wang et al. (2010). Wang et al. (2010) .. Wang et al. (2020a) Zang et al. (2019) Zhang et al. (2014) Zhang et al. (2014). Zhang et al. (2014) ... Dunshea (2016) Dunshea (2016). Fernandez et al. (2009) Fernandez et al. (2004) Saipin et al.(2013)

METHYL DONORS: BETAINE

| Subgroup | | St | td diff in means and 95% CI | |
|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Std diff | | | |
| | in means | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.657 | 1 | − | |
| Large rum. | 0.413 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.225 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.395 | | | |
| Large rum. | 1.017 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.639 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.038 | 8 | | |
| Large rum. | 0.150 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.751 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.435 | | | |
| Large rum. | 1.428 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.217 | | | |
| Large rum. | -0.490 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.288 | | | |
| Large rum. | -0.216 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.162 | | | |
| Large rum. | 3.495 | | | - |
| Large rum. | 2.191 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.626 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.626 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.089 | | | |
| Large rum. | 1.138 | | | |
| Large rum. | -0.771 | _ | | |
| Large rum. | -0.163 | | | |
| Large rum. | 1.601 | | | |
| Large rum. | 1.265 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.800 | | | |
| Large rum. | 0.244 | | | |
| Small rum. | 0.079 | | | |
| Small rum. | 0.645 | | | |
| Small rum. | 0.447 | | | |
| | 0.526 | | • | |
| | -5.00 | -2.50 | 0.00 2.50 | |
| | | Favours Control | Favours Betain | e |

5.00

AGVANCE -G Success. Together.

Abhijith et al., 2024





<u>Vitamin D</u>

- 21-hydroxy vitamin D is metabolised into 125-dihydroxy vitamin D in the kidney, 125-dihydroxy vitamin D stimulates the release of calcium & phosphorus from the bone.
- 25-hydroxy vitamin D is stored and released from the liver (stimulated by PTH). If liver levels are low, this will influence the cow's ability to stabilise calcium and phosphorus levels.

<u>B vitamins</u> – B2, B3, B5, B9, B12 & Biotin.

Key for liver's ability to:

- Remove toxins
- Methylation
- Preventing fattyliver

<u>Vitamin E</u>

Anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory action pre- and post-calving.

Levels can be low when pasture levels are minimal, silage and crop feeding.

Chelated copper (100mg), zinc (800mg) & manganese (120mg)

- Important for anti-oxidant function (CuZnSOD).
- Protect/enhance liver, immune system & reproductive function.

<u>Selenium</u> – 8-12mg/cow/day

- Important for anti-oxidant protection (GPx).
- Enhance liver function.
- Works closely with Vit E, methionine, zinc, B12, and iodine.

<u>Chromium</u> - 7-9mg/cow/day

- Enhances insulin sensitivity, helps to partition more energy to cow condition.
- Helps to aid in cow recovery and energy metabolism post-calving.

Boron - 80-120mg/cow/day

- Key catalyst in the absorption of calcium, phosphorus, and magnesium.
- Helps to maximise levels when other hormonal controls are creating restrictions.





QUESTION 3: How does this translate practically?

- On-farm situations showing problems and solutions





- Martinez N., Risco C.A., Lima, F.S., Bisinotto, R.S., Greco, L.f., Ribeiro, E.S., Maunsell, F., Galvao, K., Santos, J.E., Evaluation of peripartal calcium status, energetic profile, and neutrophil function in dairy cows at low or high risk of developing uterine disease. J Dairy Sci: 2012 Dec;95 (12):7158-72.
- 2) Cai, T.Q., Wesron, P.G., Lund, L.A., Brodie, B., McKenna, D.J., Wagner, W.C., Association between neutrophil functions and periparturient disorders in cows. Am J Vet Res: 1994 Jul;55(7):934-43
- 3) Galvao, K.N., Association between immune function and development of uterine disease in dairy cows, VM: 2016, #181
- 4) Kimura, K., Goff, J.P., Kehrli, M.E., Reinhardt, T.A., Decreased neutrophil function as a cause of retained placenta in dairy cattle, J. Dairy Sci: 2002, 85:544-550
- 5) Degaris, P., Effects of increasing days of exposure to prepartum transition diets on milk production and milk composition in dairy cows, Australian Veterinary Journal: 2008, Vol 86, Issue 9, Pages 341-351
- 6) Dirksen, G.U., Liebich, H.G., Mayer, E., Bovine Pract: 1985, 20:116
- Oetzel, G.R., Use of acidifying diets for prevention of milk fever in dairy cattle, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- 8) Phillippo, M., Reid., G.W., Nevison, I.M., Parturient hypocalcaemia in dairy cows: effects of dietary acidity on plasma minerals and calciotrophic hormones, Res Vet Sci: 1994, May; 56(3):309-9
- 8) Phillippo, M., Reid., G.W., Nevison, I.M., Parturient hypocalcaemia in dairy cows: effects of dietary acidity on plasma minerals and calciotrophic hormones, Res Vet Sci: 1994, May; 56(3):309-9
- 9) Sharif, M., Shahzad, M.A., Sarwar, M & M, Dietary cation difference: impact on productive and reproductive performance in animal agriculture, African Journal of Biotechnology: 2010, Vol 9 (47):7976-22
- 10) Joyce, P.W., Sanchez, W.K., Goff, J.P., Effect of anionic salts in prepartum diets based on Alfalfa, J Dairy Sci: 1997, 80:2866-2875
- 11) Block, E., Manipulating dietary anions and cations for prepartum dairy cows to reduce incidence of milk fever, J Dairy Sci:1984, 67:2939-2948
- 12) Gelfert, C.C., Staufenbiel, R., The role of dietary calcium concentration in the use of anionic salts to prevent parturient paresis in dairy cows, Beri Munch Tierarzti Wochenschr: 2008, 121 (7-8):256-62
- 13) Goff, J.P., Physiologic factors to consider in order to prevent periparturient disease in the dairy cow, with special emphasis on milk fever, Western Dairy Management Conference: 1999





- 14) Grohn Y.T., Erb H.N., McCulloch C.E. and H.S. Saloniemi. Epidemiology of reproductive disorders in dairy cattle: Associations among host characteristics, disease and production. Prev. Vet. Med. 8:25, 1990.
- 15) Lean, I.J., P.J. DeGaris, D.M. McNell, and E. Block. 2006. Hypocalcemia in dairy cows: meta-analysis and dietary cation-anion difference theory revisited. J. Dairy Sci. 89:669-684.
- 16) Zhang, Y., Samii, S.S., Myers, W.A., Bailey, H.R., Davis, A.N., Grilli, E., McFadden, J.W., Methyl donor supplementation suppresses the progression of liver lipid accumulation while modifying the plasma triacylglycerol lipidome in periparturient Holstein dairy cows. 2018, J Dairy Sci, 102; 1-13
- 17) Puggaard, L., Lund, P., Liesegang, A., Sehested, J., Long term effect of reduced dietary phosphorus on feed intake and milk yield in dry and lactating dairy cows. 2014. Journal of Livestock Science, Vol 159, Pg 18-28
- 18) Peterson, A.B., Orth, M.W., Goff, J.P., Beede, D.K., Periparturient Responses of Multiparous Holstein Cows Fed Different Dietary Phosphorus Concentrations Prepartum. 2005. Journal of Dairy Science, 88:3584-3594
- 19) Goff, J.P., Hohman, A., Tims, L.L., Effect of subclinical and clinical hypocalcaemia and dietary cation-anion difference on rumination activity in periparturient dairy cows. 2020. Journal of Dairy Science, 103:2591-2601
- 20) Barton , B.A., Jorgensen, N.A., DeLuca, H.F., Impact of Prepartum Dietary Phosphorus Intake on Calcium Homeostasis at Parturition. 1987. Journal of Dairy Science 70: 1186-1191
- 21) Valk & Sebek, 1999. Influence of Long-Term Feeding of Limited Amounts of Phosphorus on Dry Matter Intake, Milk Production, and Body Weight of Dairy Cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 82:2157-2163



For more information and previous webinars:

- Visit our website: <u>www.agvance.co.nz</u>
- Talk to your local Agvance Consultant
- Email me at: shaunb@agvance.co.nz
- Call me on: 021644035